

REMARKS

Claims 1-30 are pending and under consideration.

The rejections based on Scholder are respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 (used herein as an example) recites a main board attached to the main board attaching surface, and a lock, slidably combined to the main board attaching surface. In contrast, Scholder teaches a locking mechanism 18 slidably combined to a track 16. However, the track 16 is not a main board attaching surface as claimed. Instead, the track 16 receives the locking mechanism 18, as opposed to the PC board 12.

Furthermore, claim 9 depends from claim 1 and recites a pair of first grips upwardly extending from each lock. The Examiner admits that Scholder does not teach the claimed upwardly extending grips, but instead states that it would have been obvious to modify Scholder to include this feature. However, since the claimed upwardly extending grips are advantageous as compared to Scholder, it is respectfully submitted that the modification would not have been obvious. Specifically, the upwardly extending grips allow the user to press the grips during operation, as discussed, for example, in present paragraph [0039].

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejections is requested.

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.


Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 10-11-06

By: 
Michael J. Badagliacca
Registration No. 39,099

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501